

RATING SYSTEM
FOR
CONSULTING ENGINEER / PROJECT MANAGER

Project Name: _____

Contractor: _____

RATING SYSTEM	
EXCELLENT	4 *
VERY GOOD	3
SATISFACTORY	2
MARGINAL:	1 *
UN-SATISFACTORY	0 *
NOT RATED	NR

* Written justification is required for ratings of 0, 1 and 4

DESIGN

1. Did the Consultant's design provide for reasonable constructability?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
2. Did the Consultant's design result in a final project cost that was within budget?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
3. Were there change orders attributed to imperfections in the Consultant's design?	4	3	2	1	0	NR

TOTAL POINTS:_____

TOTAL POSSIBLE:_____

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

1.	How well did the Consultant coordinate with and advise Bay County while acting as the County's project representative?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
2.	How well did the Consultant comply with documentation and meeting requirements of the Capital Improvement Project management System?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
3.	Was the Consultant's review of shop drawings and other submittals completed in a thorough and timely manner?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
4.	How well did the Consultant issue instructions of Bay County to the Contractor?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
5.	How well did the Consultant perform site visits at various stages of construction?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
6.	Did the Consultant provide experienced and qualified professionals for progress inspections?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
7.	How well did the Consultant perform duties associated with processing of pay requests, schedules, change orders, etc.?	4	3	2	1	0	NR
8.	How well did the Consultant conduct substantial completion and final inspections?	4	3	2	1	0	NR

TOTAL POINTS: _____

TOTAL POSSIBLE: _____

* Instructions for calculating composite rating:

CASE 1:

For Consultant providing both Design and Construction Management Services rating for each service shall be 50 percent.

CASE 2:

For Consultant providing only Construction Management Services use only rating for Construction Management Activities.

CASE 1

- | | | |
|-----|---|-------|
| 1. | Total Points – Design | _____ |
| 2. | Total Possible Points – Design | _____ |
| 3. | Number of Design Items Rated | _____ |
| 4. | Average Score Design (No. 1 ÷ No. 3) | _____ |
| 5. | Total Score for Design on 100 Point Scale | _____ |
| 6. | Weighted Total Score for Design (0.5 X No. 5) | _____ |
| 7. | Total Points – Construction Management | _____ |
| 8. | Total Possible Points – Construction Management | _____ |
| 9. | Number of Construction Management Items Rated | _____ |
| 10. | Average Score Construction Management (No. 7 ÷ No. 9) | _____ |
| 11. | Total Score for Construction Management on 100 Point Scale | _____ |
| 12. | Weighted Total Score for Construction Management (.05 x No. 11) | _____ |
| | Composite Rating (No. 6 + No. 12) | _____ |

CASE 2

- | | | |
|----|--|-------|
| 1. | Total Points Construction Management | _____ |
| 2. | Total Possible Points Construction Management | _____ |
| 3. | Number of Construction Management Items Rated | _____ |
| 4. | Average Score Construction Management (No. 1 ÷ No. 3) | _____ |
| 5. | Total Score Construction Management on 100 Point Scale | _____ |

RATING CONVERSION TABLE

AVERAGE RATING	CONVERTED RATING TO 100 % SCALE
0	0
0.1	4
0.2	8
0.3	12
0.4	16
0.5	20
0.6	24
0.7	28
0.8	32
0.9	36
1	40
1.1	44
1.2	48
1.3	52
1.4	56
1.5	60
1.6	64
1.7	68
1.8	72
1.9	76
2	80
2.1	81
2.2	82
2.3	83
2.4	84
2.5	85
2.6	86
2.7	87
2.8	88
2.9	89
3	90
3.1	91
3.2	92
3.3	93
3.4	94
3.5	95
3.6	96
3.7	97
3.8	98
3.9	99
4	100